

**EXHIBIT 27**

MARTIN R. BADER (*Pro hac vice*)  
mbader@sheppardmullin.com  
JESSE A. SALEN (*Pro hac vice*)  
jsalen@sheppardmullin.com  
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
A Limited Liability Partnership  
Including Professional Corporations  
12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100  
San Diego, California 92130-4092  
Telephone: 858.720.8900  
Facsimile: 858.509.3691

PATRICK MCGILL (*Pro hac vice*)  
patrick@mcgillco.com  
MCGILL & CO., P.C.  
5580 La Jolla Blvd, Suite 39  
La Jolla, CA 92037  
Tel: (619) 974-8886

Nathan D. Thomas (USB #119650)  
Elizabeth M. Butler (USB #13658)  
PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER  
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Telephone: (801) 536-1234  
nthomas@parsonsbehle.com  
ebutler@parsonsbehle.com

*Attorneys for Defendant BlendJet Inc.*

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION**

---

**BLENDTEC INC.**, a Utah corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**BLENDJET INC.**, a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

**BLENDJET'S OBJECTIONS TO  
BLENDTEC INC.'S SUBPOENA SERVED  
ON NON-PARTY PATRICK MCGILL TO  
PRODUCE DOCUMENTS,  
INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS OR TO  
PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN  
A CIVIL ACTION**

Civil No. 2:21-cv-00668-TC-DBP

Judge Tena Campbell  
Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any other applicable rules of law, Defendant BlendJet Inc. (“BlendJet”) responds and objects as follows to Blendtec Inc.’s (“Blendtec”) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (“Requests”), which was served on non-party Patrick McGill (“Mr. McGill”) on March 29, 2023.<sup>1</sup>

### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

BlendJet objects to each of Blendtec’s requests and the subpoena as untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec noticed its subpoena on March 15, and did not effect service of the subpoena until March 29, over two months after the deadline. Blendtec’s attempt to obtain discovery from BlendJet’s trial counsel therefore amounts to a blatant attempt to circumvent the written discovery cutoff by seeking documents from Mr. McGill that have – or could have – been obtained directly from BlendJet. Blendtec’s untimely subpoena is generally invalid and ineffective, and BlendJet has no obligation to object to a subpoena that ultimately amounts to nothing more than an improper attempt to harass BlendJet’s trial counsel.

Nevertheless, BlendJet objects to each of Blendtec’s requests as unreasonable, excessive, abusive, and harassing in violation of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1) and 45(d)(1). The great majority of the requests are directed at the discovery of documents that are unquestionably protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product doctrine, and the subpoena is a clear attempt to disregard the scheduling order entered in the underlying case. Blendtec is not entitled to the production of documents from Mr. McGill that are covered by the

---

<sup>1</sup> Per agreement between the Parties, Mr. McGill’s response date to the Requests under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(2)(B) was extended two weeks, through April 21, 2023.

attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine, or any other immunity or privilege held by BlendJet – and BlendJet has standing to object to such requests. *Crispin v. Christian Audigier, Inc.*, 717 F. Supp. 2d 965, 973-74 (C.D. Cal. 2010) (a party to the action may challenge a non-party subpoena if it “claims some personal right or privilege with regard to the documents sought.”) (quoting 9A Charles Wright & Arthur Miller, *Federal Practice & Procedure*, § 2459 (3d ed. 2008)).

BlendJet incorporates each of the foregoing General Objections into each response below, as if fully set forth therein.

### **SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES**

#### **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 1:**

Documents sufficient to identify each of the roles or positions that you have held at any time at Blendjet and the date ranges during which you held each such role.

#### **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 1:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 2:**

Documents sufficient to show the date ranges during which you had access to and/or used a “blendjet.com” email address, including but not limited to patrick.mcgill@blendjet.com.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 2:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 3:**

Documents sufficient to show whether you have access to, or have ever had access to, the legal@blendjet.com email address, and/or are included within the custodians for that email address, as well as the dates during which you used, had access to, or were included within that email address.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 3:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 4:**

Documents related to any advertising or marketing services that you performed for Blendjet at any time.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 4:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service

of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 5:**

Documents sufficient to show the scope of services you performed as a “contractor” for Blendjet at any time and the date(s) that you provided those services.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 5:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 6:**

Documents sufficient to show that Blendjet has referred to you as its “general counsel.”

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 6:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 7:**

Documents sufficient to show the time period during which you acted in the capacity or role of general counsel at or to Blendjet.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 7:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service



of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 8:**

Documents sufficient to show any ownership interest or equity stake you hold in Blendjet or have held in Blendjet at any time.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 8:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 9:**

Documents sufficient to show any financial investment you made at any time in Blendjet.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 9:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 10:**

Documents sufficient to show the date you first became aware of Blendtec.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 10:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service

of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 2-6, 10, 22-23, and 31-32. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 11:**

Any and all searches or monitoring reports generated or commissioned in connection with the Blendjet Marks, including the results thereof.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 11:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service

of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 3-6, and 36-37. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 12:**

All documents related to Blendjet's applications for federal registration of the Blendjet Marks, and to the subsequent examination and registration of the Blendtec Marks.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 12:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 3-6, and 36-37. BlendJet has agreed to

produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 13:**

Documents sufficient to identify all Blendjet employees or agents who corresponded with you regarding the conception, development, selection, consideration, investigation, searching, evaluation of availability, application, registration, maintenance, and/or enforcement of any actual potential trademark or service mark for Blendjet.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 13:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous as to the terms and phrases “corresponded,” “you,” “conception,” “development,” “selection,” “consideration,” “investigation,” “searching,” “evaluation of,” “availability,” “application,” “registration,” “maintenance,” “enforcement,” “any actual potential,” and “trademark or service

mark,” and because the request is unlimited as to temporal scope. BlendJet also objects because this request contains a multitude of subparts such that it is astoundingly compound. BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec’s requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 2-6, 8, 11, 13-15, 31, and 36-37. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 14:**

All documents related to Blendjet’s policies, guidelines, and/or procedures employed or practiced (or that ought to be employed or practiced) in the selection, maintenance, registration, and protection of the Blendjet Marks.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 14:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt

to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 2-6, and 31. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 15:**

All documents evidencing or related to any effort to police, enforce, and/or defend the Blendjet Marks, including but not limited to any and all cease and desist letters that Blendjet has sent or received in relation to the Blendjet Marks.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 15:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 2-6, 10, 22-23, and 31-32. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 16:**

All documents evidencing or relating to any disputes that Blendjet has had with any third parties that relate to or reference the Blendjet Marks.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 16:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 2-6, 10, 22-23, and 31-32. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-



privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 17:**

Documents and communications with third parties regarding or referencing Blendtec.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 17:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 2-6, 10, 22-23, and 31-32. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those

discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 18:**

Documents and communications with third parties regarding or referencing this Litigation, by way of example but without limitation, see the document produced as JET00014130, which is a document within the scope of this request (*i.e.*, a communication with a third party referencing this Litigation).

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 18:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP Nos. 2-6, 10, 22-23, and 31-32. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the

extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 19:**

All documents evidencing or relating to any assignments, licenses, or other agreements of any type entered into by Blendjet that relate or reference the Blendjet Marks.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 19:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP No. 20. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 20:**

All agreements between Blendjet and any third parties that contain restrictive covenants, non-compete agreements, or agreements related to Blendjet's intellectual property and all related correspondence and negotiations with third parties.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 20:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous as to the phrase “[a]ll agreements,” “restrictive covenants,” “non-compete agreements,” “agreements related to Blendjet’s *[sic]*,” and “intellectual property,” and because the request is unlimited as to temporal scope. The request also exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

BlendJet further objects that this request is cumulative and duplicative of Blendtec's requests for production to BlendJet, specifically RFP No. 20. BlendJet has agreed to produce and has already produced, or is in the process of producing, non-privileged, non-objectionable documents and communications (if any) responsive to those discovery requests that BlendJet

could locate after a reasonably diligent search. And, to the extent that this request is not duplicative of document requests that Blendtec has already served on BlendJet, the request improperly attempts to circumvent the written discovery deadline in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 21:**

Any agreements between you and Sheppard Mullin related to Blendjet.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 21:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 22:**

Any and all documents showing that your departure from Sheppard Mullin was related to your ownership of Blendjet, your role at Blendjet, or the Litigation.

**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 22:**

BlendJet objects to this request on the grounds that it seeks documents protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, including the common-interest privilege, and/or the

work product doctrine. To the extent that the request seeks communications between Mr. McGill and BlendJet, such communications are privileged.

BlendJet further objects to this request on the grounds that it is untimely. The last day to serve written discovery was January 28, 2023. (ECF 35; ECF 90 at 2.) Blendtec did not attempt to effect service of the subpoena on Mr. McGill, and Mr. McGill only accepted electronic service of the subpoena on March 29 – more than two months after the deadline for service of written discovery. Blendtec should not be permitted to circumvent that deadline through this subpoena.

BlendJet also objects to the request because it exceeds the scope of discovery and fails to describe the documents sought with reasonable particularity because it requests information that is not relevant to any of the claims and defenses at issue in this case.

Dated: April 21, 2023

SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

By

/s/ Jesse A. Salen

MARTIN R. BADER

JESSE A. SALEN

*Attorneys for BlendJet Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. My business address is 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92130.

On April 21, 2023, I caused to be served a true copy of **BLENDJET'S OBJECTIONS TO BLENDTEC INC.'S SUBPOENA SERVED ON NON-PARTY PATRICK MCGILL TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION** on the interested parties in this matter as follows:

BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the document(s) to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

Brett Foster (#6089)  
Grant Foster (#7202)  
Tamara Kapaloski (#13471)  
**DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP**  
111 S. Main Street, Suite 2100  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
Telephone: (801) 933-7360  
Facsimile: (801) 933-7373  
foster.brett@dorsey.com  
foster.grant@dorsey.com  
kapaloski.tammy@dorsey.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Blendtec, Inc.*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 21, 2023, at San Diego, California.

By: /s/ Jesse A. Salen  
JESSE A. SALEN